



**ROYAL
AERONAUTICAL
SOCIETY**

APPENDIX 1

**Submission for N E Rowe Medal Award
Branch Judging Proforma**

Branch:	COVENTRY		
DoB & Award Group:	DoB: 27-8-97	< 22 years old	= 22 < 30 years old
Presentation Date & Age:	Pres'n Date: 20-6-18	Age: 70	
Title of Paper:	INVESTIGATION OF TAIL FEATHERS ON FLIGHT		
Entrant Names: 2 nd in CAPS	ADAM MOUNTER		
Judge's Names: 2 nd in CAPS	RON CARR. AMRAES (CHAIRMAN)		
Duration of Lecture	Allocated: 15 mins	Taken: 11-40 mins	

ASSESSMENT	SCORE
Each section is to be scored following the criteria suggested below.	
Timing – Keeping the allotted time Comments: Could have used full time allowance	3 / 5
Presentation of Case – Adequacy of introduction, development of theme or topic, relevancy of conclusion Comments: Clarity could have been - more explicit.	5 / 10
Standard of Oral Presentation – Spoken delivery, audibility, speed, flow Comments: Satisfactory	8 / 10
Engagement with audience – Eye contact, interaction, kept attention/interest Comments: All areas covered well	4 / 5
Standard of Visual Presentation – Relevance, ease of reading and assimilation Comments: Format chosen was not very readable - too compact	2 / 5
Discussion – Ability to answer questions, ability to clarify and elaborate to get the message over Comments: Not covering on some points of his project	5 / 10
General – Originality, choice of subject, relevance, technical content, grasp of subject Comments: Interesting material but needed more research	12 / 15
TOTAL SCORE	39 / 60
Additional Comments: Title of his project not fully reflecting the title	
Judge's Name & Signature: RON CARR <i>R Carr</i>	



**ROYAL
AERONAUTICAL
SOCIETY**

APPENDIX 1

**Submission for N E Rowe Medal Award
Branch Judging Proforma**

Branch:	COVENTRY		
DoB & Award Group:	DoB:	<22 years old	= 22 < 30 years old
Presentation Date & Age:	Pres'n Date:	20 June 18	Age: 27 Aug '92
Title of Paper:	INVESTIGATION OF TAIL FEATHERS ON FLIGHT.		
Entrant Names: 2nd in CAPS	ADAM MOUNTER		
Judge's Names: 2nd in CAPS	DR RASHID ALI		
Duration of Lecture	Allocated:	15 mins	Taken: 11.40 mins

ASSESSMENT	SCORE
Each section is to be scored following the criteria suggested below.	
Timing – Keeping the allotted time Comments:	3.5 / 5
Presentation of Case – Adequacy of introduction, development of theme or topic, relevancy of conclusion Comments:	6 / 10
Standard of Oral Presentation – Spoken delivery, audibility, speed, flow Comments: Confident	7 / 10
Engagement with audience – Eye contact, interaction, kept attention/interest Comments: Good Eye Contact	3 / 5
Standard of Visual Presentation – Relevance, ease of reading and assimilation Comments: A bit wordy	3 / 5
Discussion – Ability to answer questions, ability to clarify and elaborate to get the message over Comments: Answers used but somewhat unsure of the significance	6 / 10
General – Originality, choice of subject, relevance, technical content, grasp of subject Comments:	12 / 15
TOTAL SCORE	40.5 / 60
Additional Comments: Connection with the title absent, no clarification with respect to the title & birds feathers.	
Judge's Name & Signature: DR. RASHID ALI	



**ROYAL
AERONAUTICAL
SOCIETY**

APPENDIX 1

**Submission for N E Rowe Medal Award
Branch Judging Proforma**

Branch:	COVENTRY		
DoB & Award Group:	DoB:	< 22 years old	= 22 < 30 years old
Presentation Date & Age:	Pres'n Date:	Age:	
Title of Paper:	Investigation into Effect of Tail Feathers on Bird Flight.		
Entrant Names: 2 nd in CAPS	ADAM	MOUNTER.	Flight.
Judge's Names: 2 nd in CAPS	D ^r BARRY	HOBSON	
Duration of Lecture	Allocated: 15 mins	Taken: 12 mins	

ASSESSMENT	SCORE
Each section is to be scored following the criteria suggested below.	
Timing – Keeping the allotted time Comments: Good	4/5
Presentation of Case – Adequacy of introduction, development of theme or topic, relevancy of conclusion Comments: Quite good but more explanation could have been included.	6/10
Standard of Oral Presentation – Spoken delivery, audibility, speed, flow Comments: Some hesitancy but generally good.	5/10
Engagement with audience – Eye contact, interaction, kept attention/interest Comments: Average eye contact but kept audience interest	3/5
Standard of Visual Presentation – Relevance, ease of reading and assimilation Comments: Larger diagrams would help.	3/5
Discussion – Ability to answer questions, ability to clarify and elaborate to get the message over Comments: Good-but his confidence could be better.	7/10
General – Originality, choice of subject, relevance, technical content, grasp of subject Comments: Difficult subject to investigate in time available.	8/15
TOTAL SCORE	36/60
Additional Comments: Tended to be a little nervous initially. The title needs to be modified to relate to actual tests and C.F.D.	
Judge's Name & Signature: D ^r B. D. HOBSON. B. D. Hobson	