



**Submission for N E Rowe Medal Award
Branch Judging Proforma**

Branch:	YEovil		
DoB & Award Group:	DoB: 13/12/91	< 22 years old	= 22 < 30 years old
Presentation Date & Age:	Pres'n Date: 16/02/17	Age: 25	
Title of Paper:	HAND HELD MISSION DISPLAYS		
Entrant Names: 2nd in CAPS	CALUM McFARLANE		
Judge's Names: 2nd in CAPS	HANNAH NOBBS, ANDREW LEE, MIKE WILLIAMS, STEVE PALMER		
Duration of Lecture	Allocated: 20 mins	Taken: 22 mins	

ASSESSMENT	SCORE
Each section is to be scored following the criteria suggested below.	
Timing – Keeping the allotted time Comments: SLIGHT OVERRUN	4 / 5
Presentation of Case – Adequacy of introduction, development of theme or topic, relevancy of conclusion Comments: EXCELLENT INTRO, HIGHLIGHTED THE ISSUE, PREVIOUS GOOD DISCUSSION OF THE OPTIONS AND A GOOD, CONCISE SUMMARY	9 / 10
Standard of Oral Presentation – Spoken delivery, audibility, speed, flow Comments: SLIGHTLY TOO FAST INITIALLY BUT SETTLED DOWN QUICKLY TO A GOOD & STEADY PACE. EXCELLENT PRESENTATION VOICE	9 / 10
Engagement with audience – Eye contact, interaction, kept attention/interest Comments: EXCELLENT ENGAGEMENT AND EYE CONTACT. DID NOT NEED NOTES – GOOD STAGE PRESENCE	5 / 5
Standard of Visual Presentation – Relevance, ease of reading and assimilation Comments: CLEAR SLIDES – EASY TO INTERPRET WITH BULLETS TO ACT AS A PROMPT FOR TALKING AROUND	5 / 5
Discussion – Ability to answer questions, ability to clarify and elaborate to get the message over Comments: V. KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THIS AREA, ANSWERED ALL QUESTIONS WELL, ACCEPTING THAT THERE IS NO IDEAL SOLUTION	9 / 10
General – Originality, choice of subject, relevance, technical content, grasp of subject Comments: EVIDENCE THAT THIS WAS CALUM'S OWN WORK WITHIN COMPANY GUIDELINES. HIGHLY RELEVANT TO TODAY'S ISSUES/MARKET AND DEVELOPMENT OF MISSION SYSTEMS	14 / 15
TOTAL SCORE	55 / 60
Additional Comments: EXCELLENT LECTURE – GLADLY HEAR IT AGAIN	
Judge's Name & Signature: AUSAIR WOOD (EVENT CHAIR) 	