



**Submission for N E Rowe Medal Award
Branch Judging Proforma**

Branch:	BEDFORD		
DoB & Award Group:	DoB: 10/9/90	< 22 years old	<u>≡ 22 < 30 years old</u>
Presentation Date & Age:	Pres'n Date: FEB 8th 2017	Age: 26	
Title of Paper:	SPACE RIDER: DEVELOPING ESA'S AUTONOMOUS SPACE VEHICLE CAPABILITY		
Entrant Names: 2nd in CAPS	ALEXANDER GODFREY		
Judge's Names: 2nd in CAPS	NATHAN ARNDT		
Duration of Lecture	Allocated: 60 mins	Taken: ~ 55 mins	

ASSESSMENT	SCORE
Each section is to be scored following the criteria suggested below.	
Timing – Keeping the allotted time Comments: AGREED SLOT WITH COMMITTEE/BRANCH. TIMED VERY WELL ALLOWING TIME FOR QUESTIONS	5 / 5
Presentation of Case – Adequacy of introduction, development of theme or topic, relevancy of conclusion Comments: GOOD INTRODUCTION, HOWEVER, MAY HAVE BENEFITED FROM A LITTLE LESS BACKGROUND INFO AND A LITTLE MORE ON THE TECHNOLOGY/WHAT IS NEXT	9 / 10
Standard of Oral Presentation – Spoken delivery, audibility, speed, flow Comments: A LITTLE NERVOUS AT TIMES, RESULTING IN A MINOR LACK OF FLOW/CLARITY HOWEVER, ON THE WHOLE, A CONFIDENT WELL PREPARED LECTURE	8 / 10
Engagement with audience – Eye contact, interaction, kept attention/interest Comments: GOOD EYE CONTACT + INTERACTION. POSITIVE + ENGAGING. CAPTURED AUDIENCE ATTENTION	5 / 5
Standard of Visual Presentation – Relevance, ease of reading and assimilation Comments: GOOD USE OF IMAGES, CLEAN SLIDES, LITTLE CLUTTER (NOT TOO MUCH INFO PER SLIDE)	5 / 5
Discussion – Ability to answer questions, ability to clarify and elaborate to get the message over Comments: CHOICE OF TOPIC LIMITED SOME RESPONSES DUE TO COMMERCIAL CONSTRAINTS HOWEVER, OF THOSE ABLE TO BE ANSWERED, THEY WERE DONE SO TO A HIGH STANDARD	9 / 10
General – Originality, choice of subject, relevance, technical content, grasp of subject Comments: A TOPICAL CHOICE GIVEN CURRENT INTEREST IN SPACE, AND GOOD TO SEE YOUNG, LOCAL ENGINEERS WORKING ON EXCITING NEW TECHNOLOGY / PROGRAMMES. A BIT MORE TECHNICAL CONTENT MAY HAVE BEEN GOOD IN PLACE A VERY INTERESTING, ENJOYABLE LECTURE	13 / 15
TOTAL SCORE	54 / 60
Additional Comments: EXTENDED DURATION AGREED WITH BRANCH TO FIT LECTURE ALLOCATED TIME. OVERALL A GREAT LECTURE, ENJOYED BY ALL ON A VERY INTERESTING SUBJECT APPRECIATION FROM THE AUDIENCE WAS HIGH, AS WAS THE INTEREST BASED ON NUMBER AND TYPE OF QUESTIONS ASKED.	
Judge's Name & Signature: NATHAN ARNDT 	