




**Submission for N E Rowe Medal Award
Branch Judging Proforma**

Branch:	STEVANCE		
DoB & Award Group:	DoB:	< 22 years old	= 22 < 30 years old
Presentation Date & Age:	Pres'n Date:	Age:	
Title of Paper:			
Entrant Names: 2nd in CAPS	Lucie CORDIER		
Judge's Names: 2nd in CAPS	Matt BEAUMONT		
Duration of Lecture	Allocated:	mins	Taken: mins

ASSESSMENT		SCORE
Each section is to be scored following the criteria suggested below.		
Timing – Keeping the allotted time Comments:	11.02 – Plus overlength at Oct.	5 / 5
Presentation of Case – Adequacy of introduction, development of theme or topic, relevancy of conclusion Comments:	Good, clear. Interesting twist to have a legal perspective.	8 / 10
Standard of Oral Presentation – Spoken delivery, audibility, speed, flow Comments:	Excellent – particularly for a 2 nd language (French).	9 / 10
Engagement with audience – Eye contact, interaction, kept attention/interest Comments:	Good. Held the attention of audience.	4 / 5
Standard of Visual Presentation – Relevance, ease of reading and assimilation Comments:	Good clear slides – highly pictorial & not too wordy.	4 / 5
Discussion – Ability to answer questions, ability to clarify and elaborate to get the message over Comments:	Understood questions and answered well.	8 / 10
General – Originality, choice of subject, relevance, technical content, grasp of subject Comments:	Very impressed w a novel topic.	13 / 15
TOTAL SCORE		53 / 60
Additional Comments:		
Judge's Name & Signature: M. Beaumont 		


**Submission for N E Rowe Medal Award
Branch Judging Proforma**

Branch:			
DoB & Award Group:	DoB:	< 22 years old	= 22 < 30 years old
Presentation Date & Age:	Pres'n Date:		Age:
Title of Paper:			
Entrant Names: 2nd in CAPS	Lucie GORDIER		
Judge's Names: 2nd in CAPS	Andy STROOPER		
Duration of Lecture	Allocated:	mins	Taken: mins

ASSESSMENT	SCORE
Each section is to be scored following the criteria suggested below.	
Timing – Keeping the allotted time Comments:	5 / 5
Presentation of Case – Adequacy of introduction, development of theme or topic, relevancy of conclusion Comments: succeeded to raise awareness, and good practical point made	8 / 10
Standard of Oral Presentation – Spoken delivery, audibility, speed, flow Comments: very good considering 2nd language and coped well with inter upie	9 / 10
Engagement with audience – Eye contact, interaction, kept attention/interest Comments: Good - coped	4 / 5
Standard of Visual Presentation – Relevance, ease of reading and assimilation Comments:	3 / 5
Discussion – Ability to answer questions, ability to clarify and elaborate to get the message over Comments: Good thinking and clear opinions	8 / 10
General – Originality, choice of subject, relevance, technical content, grasp of subject Comments: Good to focus on non-technical	13 / 15
TOTAL SCORE	50 / 60
Additional Comments: Excellent presentation considering non-native language	
Judge's Name & Signature:	